



ORGANISATION NORD AMERICAINE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES
 NORTH AMERICAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION
 ORGANIZACION NORTEAMERICANA DE PROTECCION A LAS PLANTAS
 CANADA UNITED STATES MEXICO

NAPPO Panel Report

Panel Name:	Pest Risk Analysis Panel	
Location:	San Antonio, Texas	
Date:	March 10-11, 2009	
Panel Chair:	Louise Dumouchel	
Participants:		
Larry Brown, USA (by teleconference, March 10)	Louise Dumouchel, Canada	Alejandra Elizalde, Mexico
Nedelka Marin-Martinez, NAPPO	Scott Redlin, USA	Michelle Vaca, USA
Nancy Villegas, Mexico	Dorthea Zadig, USA	

Summary

Issue 1:	<p>Development of a NAPPO Pest Risk Analysis Model:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Three Panel members from the US, Mexico and Canada presented an overview of their country's PRA models. All are based on ISPM #11 but several differences were noted, such as the risk rating schemes. 2) The pros and cons of the PRA format and rating guidelines used for the NAPPO AGM PRA were examined. 3) The scope of the NAPPO PRA model to be developed this year was discussed, taking into consideration commodity vs. pest-specific PRAs and differences between types of pests.
Consensus:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The NAPPO PRA model can be unique and not necessarily duplicate existing PRA models; it could include any features of country models that would enhance it, facilitate its use or increase its transparency. 2) The development of a pest fact sheet as part of the PRA process is considered as essential; it will be included as an Annex to the PRA. 3) The priority this year will be given to the generation of a pest-specific PRA model that could be used for either phytopathogens or invertebrate pests. If time permits, this pest-specific PRA model will be modified to create a PRA scheme specifically for plants considered as pests. 4) The development of a rating scheme and rating guidelines will be initiated but not necessarily finalized by October given the complexity of the issue. 5) The PRA model will include the identification of risk management options. 5) A small working group of 3-4 members of the PRA Panel will be responsible for the development of the draft PRA Model.

Issue 2:	<p>Provide support to the IS Panel for the completion of RSPM No. 31 on Pathway Risk Analysis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The IS and PRA Panels met jointly for ½ day to discuss the purpose, scope and content of RSPM No. 31 as well as the approach that should be taken to meet the October deadline for its completion.
Consensus:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) There was agreement on the need of developing a pathway risk analysis standard for addressing gaps in existing ISPMs, particularly ISPM No. 11. 2) The participation of the PRA Panel in this project was acknowledged as being sensible and fundamental. 3) The concept of “pathways” will be clearly defined or described in the updated draft RSPM No. 31. 4) RSPM No. 31 will provide a general framework for risk analysis from a high level conceptual perspective in order to maximize applicability; it will not be prescriptive. 5) The scope of the Standard will be expanded to include natural spread and unauthorised intentional introductions. 6) Analysis of risks associated with pathways will take into considerations existing management measures being taken at origin, along the transit route and/or at arrival. 7) All country comments need to be re-examined and recommendations either accepted and implemented or rejected, with a justification for the rejection provided to the originator. 8) The Standard on Pathway Risk Analysis will be subject to periodical updates.
Issue 3:	<p>Support the Forestry Panel during the final stages of development of RSPM No. 33</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The Forestry and PRA Panels met jointly for ½ day to discuss the required amendments to the AGM PRA and the draft RSPM No. 31 in order to finalize the Standard. 2) A summary of the issues raised during the last NAPPO mission to Asia re RSPM No. 33 was provided. 3) The idea of analysing the risks associated with other <i>Lymantria</i> species of concern (<i>L. albescens</i>, <i>L. umbrosa</i>, <i>L. postalba</i>, <i>L. mathura</i>, <i>L. monacha</i>, and <i>L. xyliina</i>) was raised.
Consensus:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The NAPPO PRA on AGM will be expanded to include an assessment of the risks posed by three other closely related species of <i>Lymantria</i> that are also considered as Asian gypsy moths, <i>L. albescens</i>, <i>L. umbrosa</i> and <i>L. postalba</i>. 2) The PRA should clearly identify the risks posed by cargo. 3) The distribution of AGM in China needs to be clarified. 4) The expression “high risk” should either be avoided in the PRA or its meaning clearly explained. 5) Risk management options should be mentioned in the PRA, including the system’s approach that is to be developed by two North American scientists in May 2009. 6) Preliminary work on a PRA for <i>L. mathura</i> is to be started in September – October 2009.
Issue 4:	<p>Participate in the drafting of a discussion document on the risks associated with imported wooden handicraft items into the NAPPO region.</p>

Consensus:	<p>1) All agreed that various wooden handicraft items are potential pathways of introduction of exotic forest pests.</p> <p>2) Given that wooden handicrafts encompass a broad range of items that differ in size, usage, HS code, etc., there is a need to clearly define those categories of handicrafts that pose a risk of harbouring or vectoring pests.</p> <p>3) All three NAPPO countries were favourable to sharing their list of intercepted pests on handicrafts.</p> <p>4) There was consensus around the proposition to assign the drafting of a white paper on handicrafts to a small group of four people from the Forestry and PRA Panels.</p>
------------	--

Next Steps

Responsible Person	Action	Date
Ken Allison	Participate in the development of the NAPPO PRA model as a member of the Working Group.	01/10/2009
Larry Brown	Lead the Working Group in the development of the NAPPO PRA model.	01/10/2009
Louise Dumouchel	<p>1) Prepare and distribute a draft PRA model based on the NAPPO AGM PRA and on ISPM No. 11, as a starting point for the PRA Model Working Group.</p> <p>2) Participate in the development of the NAPPO PRA model as needed.</p> <p>3) Further develop the current AGM PRA draft:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. extract and add information from latest publications ii. make necessary amendments derived from reviewers' comments; iii. incorporate facts on three other <i>Lymantria</i> species that belong to the 'AGM complex'. <p>4) Initiate work on the <i>L. mathura</i> PRA.</p>	<p>1) 19/06/2009</p> <p>2) 01/09/2009</p> <p>3) 09/10/2009</p>
Alejandra Elizalde	<p>1) Participate in the development of the NAPPO PRA model as a member of the Working Group.</p> <p>2) Participate in the drafting of a white paper on handicrafts as part of the Forestry-PRA Panels Working Group on Handicrafts.</p>	<p>1) 01/10/2009</p> <p>2) ? (date to be determined by Forestry Panel)</p>
Gary Kristjansson	Participate in the development of the NAPPO PRA model as needed.	01/10/2009
Scott Redlin	Participate in the development of the NAPPO PRA model as needed.	01/10/2009
Nancy Villegas	<p>1) Participate in the development of the NAPPO PRA model as needed.</p> <p>2) Provide input and feedback on the AGM PRA and on the <i>L. mathura</i> PRA.</p> <p>3) Supply a list of pests intercepted on handicrafts in Mexico.</p>	<p>1) 01/10/2009</p> <p>2) 09/10/2009</p> <p>3? (date to be determined by Forestry Panel)</p>
Dorthea Zadig	1) Participate in the development of the NAPPO PRA model as needed.	1) 01/10/2009

	2) Provide input and feedback on the AGM PRA and on the <i>L. mathura</i> PRA. 3) Represent the PRA Panel on the small working group formed by the IS Panel to work on RSPM No. 31.	2) 09/10/2009 3? (date to be determined by Invasive Species Panel)
Next Meeting		
Location:	NAPPO Annual Meeting in Chicago	
Date:	19-23 October 2009	
Proposed Agenda Items		
1. NAPPO PRA Model <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the latest draft of the NAPPO Pest-Specific Risk Analysis Model. • Discuss the rating guidelines to be adopted (if this issue wasn't already resolved prior to the meeting). • Examine the possible next steps in the development of a NAPPO PRA Model (e.g., screening tool, categorization tool, PRA models for weeds, commodities, living modified organisms, pathways, etc.). 		
2. RSPM No. 31 Collaborate with the IS Panel to finalize the Standard.		
3. Climate change Initiate work with the IS Panel to explore the need for a discussion paper and further activity on this subject under NAPPO and possibly in collaboration with the Commission of Environment Cooperation (CEC).		
4. Wooden Handicrafts Items Collaborate with the Forestry Panel to finalize the discussion paper on wooden handicrafts items.		
5. RSPM No. 33 Finalize the AGM PRA and the Standard.		
6. PRA on <i>Lymantria mathura</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review work already accomplished on the PRA. • Determine type or sources of information still missing from the PRA. • Discuss the most efficient approach to be used for completing the PRA. 		