

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Lymantriids		
Location:	Conference call		
Date:	May 17, 2017		
Chairperson	Dave Holden (CFIA)		
Participants:			
Glenn Fowler (APHIS PPQ)	Paul Chaloux (APHIS PPQ)	Clemente de Jesús García (SENASICA)	
Daniel Bravo Perez (SENASICA)	Eduardo Jimenez Quiroz (SEMARNAT)	Rajesh Ramarathnam (CFIA)	
Patricia Abad (APHIS PPQ)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)	
	Summary		
Summary			
Project: General comments:	 Develop a NAPPO Science and Technology document on the risks associated with Lymantriids of potential concern to the NAPPO region, identifying potential species and pathways of concern. Election of the EG chair and co-chair, project scope and timeline 		
	were discussed.		
Item 1:	Election of the chair and co-chair.		
Consensus:	To elect the new chair and vice-chair of the Lymantriid EG, each member provided a brief description of their background and experience with the Lymantriid EG. After a brief discussion, some members decided not to be considered for the roles of chair and vice-chair. Dave Holden (CFIA) kindly agreed to be the chair and Eduardo Jimenez (SEMARNAT) the vice-chair of the group. Dave has been working with the Lymantriid EG since the project was implemented, he is very familiarized with the project and has vast experience with Lymantriids. Eduardo has previously worked in NAPPO expert groups and has extensive experience with insect taxonomy and identification. Eduardo has also worked with Lymantriids.		
	and will provide details of their	teful to Dave and Eduardo for d vice-chair with the Lymantriid EG roles and responsibilities later on nt on the "roles and responsibilities	

Item 2:	Scope and time to complete the science and tec document.	Scope and time to complete the science and technology document.	
Consensus:	 An EG member asked whether the objective of t to analyze all the Lymantriid species of concern region (all three countries), or each country indive Lymantriid species in one NAPPO country (or two be of concern to the other countries. The chairped that the group had approximately 2700 species I approximately 224 have been identified as cand consider based on being reported to feed on at I considered to be economically important to NAP Moths that are native or introduced but known to within the NAPPO region will not be considered unless they are currently undergoing active erad a risk analysis flowchart has been developed to potential species. Approximately 30 species hav completed thus far. Those species with the high (threshold still to be determined) will be included and technology document. Another point that was brought up was the use of (what is the end use of this document?) once the completed and the document written. The chairperson also noted that some of these p as quarantined pests in Canada but a large num are not included in the list of quarantined or reguter the document of the document of the species are not included in the list of quarantined or reguter the document of the species of the document of the species are not included in the list of quarantined or reguter the document of the species of the document of the species of the document of the species of the document of the document of the species of the document of the species are not included in the list of quarantined or reguter the completed in the list of quarantined or reguter the top of the document of the species of the document of the species of the document or the species of the document or the document or the document or the the document or the document or	to the NAPPO vidually, or vo) that should erson explained isted of which idates to east one host PO countries. be established for this project lication. To date, rate each re been est ratings in the science of the document e analyses are person explained s a tool for risk NAPPO country. bests are listed iber of species PPO area, and lated pests.	
	 Do possible reviews of RSPM 33 or of the 	 Organize workshops for inspections Increase awareness of these species Create a repository of information for all NAPPO countries Do possible reviews of RSPM 33 or of the work being done by the Asian Gypsy Moth EG on the flight periods for 	
	Next Steps		
Responsible Person	Action	Date	
NAPPO Secretariat	Send doodle poll to the group to schedule the next By May 26 conference call.		
NAPPO Secretariat	Provide documents describing the roles and ASAP responsibilities to the EG chair and co-chair		

Next Meeting			
Location:	Conference call		
Date:	July 17, 2017		
Proposed Agenda Items			
1. Set an approximate completion date for entire project and for the species review period.			
2. Finalize list of species to review and decide who gets which ones.			