

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Forestry				
Location:	Zoom meeting – Video Conference				
Date:	February 23, 2021				
Chairperson	Meghan Noseworthy (Natural Resources Canada)				
Participants:					
Arvind Vasudevan (CFIA)	Maria Eugenia Guerrero Alarcón (SEMARNAT)	Eric Allen (Retired)			
Chuck Dentelbeck (Canadian industry)	John Tyrone Jones II (APHIS-PPQ)	Paul Conway (US industry)			
Clemente de Jesús García (SENASICA)	Faith Campbell (US NGO)	Gustavo Hernández Sánchez (SEMARNAT)			
Brad Gething (US industry)	Ron Mack (APHIS-PPQ)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)			
Nedelka Marín-Martínez (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)				
Summary					
Project:	Develop a Science and Technology document that provides guidance related to contaminating pests in certified wood packaging material and wood commodities.				
General comments:	The chairperson welcomed and thanked the EG for joining the call. The chairperson indicated that the revision of the S&T document will focus on addressing the most important comments provided by the EG in the document. She indicated the document is close to completion and it should be ready for country consultation on May 1st. The chairperson outlined the steps to follow to have the document ready for country consultation including: To send the document to the Secretariat for translation The completed document will be sent for editorial review The edited document will be prepared for country consultation. The document will be available for country consultation for a 60-day period. The TD agreed to take notes and write the report.				
Item 1:	Science and Technology document review.				
Consensus:	The chairperson indicated that including:	erences.			

		 Comments were addressed from section 3 approaches) to the end of the document. The EG decided to remove the information favored to keep a note or a simple sentence auditing. Remaining comments were addressed in the Chairperson indicated that additional of the been emailed to her by the end of February 2 them later in the document and have a vere editorial review. The ED indicated that the plan proposed be submit the S&T document and have it read consultation on May 1st is feasible. She indicated for country consultation after the receives the final version from the EG. 	ton auditing but the to address the document. Comments could the to include sion ready for the EG to the total the total the the total t	
Next Steps				
Responsible Person	<u> </u>	Action		
EG	Review country consultation comments			
Next Meeting				
Location:	Tentative plan to meet when the country comments are gathered for discussion			
Date:				
Proposed Agenda Items				
1.				
2.				