

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Revision of RSPM 22 / Biological Control Expert Group			
Location:	Videoconference – Zoom meeting			
Date:	July 29, 2021			
Chairperson	Peter Mason (Agr. Canada)			
Participants:				
Robert Pfannenstiel (USDA-APHIS-PPQ)	Ronald Weeks (USDA- APHIS-PPQ)	Melisa Tacolla (US Industry)		
Kirk Martin (USDA-APHIS-PPQ)	Bruno Gallant (CFIA)	Serena Bhardwaj (Canada Industry)		
Brian Spencer (Canada Industry)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)		
	Summary			
Project: General comments:	Revision of RSPM 22 (Guidelines for the construction and containment of biological control facilities for insects and mites used as biological control agents)- Project proposal discussions			
General comments.	 The NAPPO TD and the EG chairperson welcomed and thanked all EG members for joining the call. EG members authorized the Secretariat to record the videoconference for report purposes. The NAPPO TD agreed to take notes and write the videoconference call report. 			
Item 1:	Agenda			
Consensus:	Ron Weeks requested to add time to discuss RSPM 22. The agenda was approved with the proposed addition.			
Item 2:	EG report for the NAPPO Annual Meeting			
Consensus:	 The EG report for the NAPPO Annual Meeting was shared with the EG for the group to review and provide feedback. EG members provided feedback and suggestions including: adding a slide to outline the changes in the revised RSPM 22. Indicating the extensive internal consultancy with the industry and regulatory agencies done by the EG before country consultation. Provide an idea on the comments received during country consultation. This information will be available between August 17 and August 30. 			
Item 3:	Feedback provided by the EG were taken into consideration and will be included in the final version of the EG report. Proposals for submission to NAPPO to revise RSPM 26 and RSPM 39			

The chairperson informed that:			
 draft project proposals for the revision of RSPM 26 and 39 			
are available.			
EG will send the proposals during the open call for			
• • •	-		
	•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
EG members indicated that:			
This information is not available in the US			
 FAO is preparing a background study document on 			
		agriculture which will make it available in late September or	
October. The chairperson indicated the document will			
provide new perspective for the expert group to consider			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.00.0		
Laws available are not focused on conservation of			
2.0.0g.out control agoing			
The TD informed that Mexico indicated that some information is available, and it will be shared with the EG. The chairperson indicated this could be a topic for discussion in the next			
		· ·	
Best practices for production of biological control agents			
Consensus: 1. Best practices for production of biological control agents a. Is there a need to develop a regional standard for this			
topic? The ED indicated that there is only one			
international standard pertaining to biological control and			
encouraged the EG to consider developing a regional			
standard on this topic. She also indicated that a propo			
of this nature will be received with great interest by			
NAPPO.			
b. Suggested to change the topic title to "Best practices for			
collection, movement and use of native biological control			
agents". This title provides a more focused alternative.			
c. The EG supports this initiative as a potential topic for project proposals and members indicated this project with the pro			
be valuable for the industry and the N	IPPOs.		
be valuable for the industry and the N Molecular techniques. Topic will be discuss 	IPPOs.		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discus meeting.	IPPOs. ssed in the next		
be valuable for the industry and the N2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in meeting.3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in the N	IPPOs. ssed in the next netting.		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in the meeting. 3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in the comment on RSPM 22: Consider adding a second control of the co	IPPOs. ssed in the next neeting. ection on		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in meeting. 3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in Comment on RSPM 22: Consider adding a sedecommissioning. This can be revisited by en	IPPOs. ssed in the next neeting. ection on		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in the meeting. 3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in the comment on RSPM 22: Consider adding a second control of the co	IPPOs. ssed in the next neeting. ection on		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in meeting. 3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in Comment on RSPM 22: Consider adding a sedecommissioning. This can be revisited by en	IPPOs. ssed in the next neeting. ection on		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in meeting. 3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in Comment on RSPM 22: Consider adding a sedecommissioning. This can be revisited by en Next Steps	IPPOs. ssed in the next n the next meeting. ection on mail.		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in meeting. 3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in Comment on RSPM 22: Consider adding a sedecommissioning. This can be revisited by en Next Steps Action	IPPOs. ssed in the next n the next meeting. ection on mail.		
be valuable for the industry and the N 2. Molecular techniques. Topic will be discussed in meeting. 3. Climate change. Topic will be discussed in Comment on RSPM 22: Consider adding a sedecommissioning. This can be revisited by entermined to the Next Steps Action a proposal for "Best practices for collection,"	IPPOs. ssed in the next n the next meeting. ection on mail.		
	are available. • EG will send the proposals during the proposal from November 15, 2021 to a Follow up discussions on laws and processe conservation of biological control agents. EG members indicated that: • This information is not available in the • No exclusive laws available anywhere • FAO is preparing a background study biological control agents and genetic reagriculture which will make it available October. The chairperson indicated the provide new perspective for the expert for discussions. • Laws available are not focused on combiological control agents The TD informed that Mexico indicated that savailable, and it will be shared with the EG. It indicated this could be a topic for discussion videoconference with the EG. New projects 1. Best practices for production of biological a. Is there a need to develop a regional satopic? The ED indicated that there is international standard pertaining to bit encouraged the EG to consider development and use of this nature will be received with great NAPPO. b. Suggested to change the topic title to collection, movement and use of nating agents. This title provides a more for control the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the provides a more for control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports this initiative as a positive control of the EG supports the EG support the EG supports the EG support		

NAPPO ED	Share an example of a draft outline for a	Completed		
	specification of a regional standard in case the EG	·		
	decides to pursue this avenue with the proposed			
	best practices document.			
Peter Mason, All	Revise Biological Control Expert Group			
	presentation for NAPPO Annual Meeting.			
EG members	Incorporate country consultation comments into			
	RSPM 22 document and send revised version to			
	NAPPO Executive Committee.			
EG members	Follow up discussion on laws and processes			
	associated with the conservation of biological			
	control agents. Examples from Mexico.			
Next Meeting				
Location:	Videoconference			
Date:	To be determined. The Secretariat will send a poll to the EG.			
Proposed Agenda Items				
1.				
2.				