

NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Seeds ToBRFV		
Location:	Zoom meeting – Video conference		
Date:	March 23, 2022		
Chairperson	Ed Podleckis (APHIS – PPQ)		
Participants:			
Stephanie Dubon (APHIS – PPQ)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)	Nedelka Marín-Martínez (NAPPO)	
Sofia Baez (NAPPO)	Vessela Mavrodieva (APHIS – PPQ)	Angel Ramírez (SENASICA)	
Huimin Xu (CFIA)	Jennifer Nickerson (CFIA)	Kevin Ong (Texas A&M)	
Geoffrey Dennis (APHIS – PPQ)	Maribel Hurtado (NAPPO)	Beatriz Xoconostle (CINVESTAV)	
Marlene Ortiz (AMSAC)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)	Nancy Osterbauer (APHIS – PPQ)	
	Summary		
Project:	A pilot for the harmonization of diagnostic protocols for seed pests focuses on ToBRFV		
General comments:	Welcome remarks provided by the NAPPO Secretariat. The NAPPO TD will take notes and write the videoconference call report. EG members authorized recording the session for report purposes.		
Item 1:	Updates on supplies ordering – Sofia Baez and Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO Secretariat)		
Consensus:	 (NAPPO Secretariat) The NAPPO Secretariat informed that: Different laboratories might require different financial assistance when it comes to funding for additional supplies. Laboratories should provide NAPPO the information of a contact person for ordering additional material for the project. The laboratory contact person should provide a list and cost of materials requested. NAPPO will transfer the requested funds to the laboratory. The Secretariat will send an email with all the information needed when requesting additional funding for the labs. Funding is for supplies and materials (pipette tips, gloves, tapes, etc.) but not for equipment. 		
Item 2:	Panel preparation and protocols updates – Vessela Mavrodieva (APHIS – PPQ)		

Consensus:	PPQ provided the following updates:
Consensus.	 Reagents for the participating laboratories were
	purchased with NAPPO funds.
	 Sixty packs of reagents were prepared (12 packs for each
	• Sixty packs of reagents were prepared (12 packs for each of 5 protocols).
	, ,
	Each participating laboratory will receive 5 packs.
	One pack will be used to validate the reagents.
	Quantities of reagents supplied are enough for all the
	tests including an additional test in case it needs to be repeated.
	 Packs for additional laboratories were also prepared in
	case other laboratories are interested in participating.
	 Samples will be prepared and provided in different
	packages.
	 The amount provided in the packs also includes enough material to run a pre-test.
	 Validation for all seed samples has been completed.
	Statistical analyses have been done.
	Samples B and PPC were also evaluated for stability and
	transportation. Samples were stable during the
	transportation.
	 Validation data was submitted to Geoff Dennis for
	analysis.
	 Seed sample validation was completed.
	 Sample A will be provided as concentrate and labs will
	have to make 4 serial dilutions (5 concentrations with the
	undiluted sample). The EG agreed to provide sample A as
	an undiluted sample.
	 Calibrator sample will be provided ready to use.
	Validation work on samples A and the calibrator are still a
	work in a progress.
	 Samples will be "blinded" in the Texas A&M facilities.
	 Sample blinding will be done based on the sample
	schemes that will be provided.
	 Validation analysis data was presented to the group.
Item 3:	Panel distribution to Mexico
Consensus:	Mexico indicated that:
	It will be difficult for someone from SENASICA to go to the
	US to take the panels to Mexico.
	SENASICA personnel can meet the designated person at
	a determined port of entry (Laredo or Reynosa). A letter to
	authorize the entry of the material will be needed from
	Mexico.
	 Information of the designated person to transport the
	samples to Mexico (name of person that will bring the
	sample, flight number and date) should be provided to
	SENASICA to get the documentation. SENASICA
	requested to provide this information at least a couple of
	weeks before the estimated travel time.

Item 4:	Data collection and storage updates			
Consensus:	Geoffrey Dennis provided the following updates:			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	 Data portal providers have made the changes to the 		
	protocol names. Protocols are named as A			
	É.			
	EG members were encouraged to register	 EG members were encouraged to register to get access 		
	v v	to the portal and provide feedback as soon as possible.		
	· · ·	This request was made to the EG members with the		
	•	intention to test the portal before the participating		
		laboratories. Modifications to the portal take time.		
	An Excel spreadsheet for the data collection will be			
	•	prepared and shared with the participating laboratories in		
		case there is no interest in using the portal or if there are		
	issues using the portal.			
	 Collecting data in a spreadsheet might be 	easier for the		
		participating laboratories but this platform makes the data		
		validation process more difficult because the data is not in		
	an official format and, will compromise the	an official format and, will compromise the data integrity.		
	 A consensus was reached to use the portage 	A consensus was reached to use the portal. Mexico		
	indicated that SENASICA has used the pol	indicated that SENASICA has used the portal but for other		
	laboratories in Mexico, a formal invitation le	etter from		
	NAPPO will help with a more active partici	NAPPO will help with a more active participation.		
Item 5:	Preparation of laboratory manuals.			
Consensus:		The NAPPO TD provided the following updates:		
	 A draft document for the laboratory manual 			
		edited based on the feedback received from EG		
	-	members. More changes are needed based on the		
		decisions taken today.		
		• The drafts will be sent to some EG for final review before		
		the documents are shared with the participating		
	laboratories.			
Other subjects	1. Formal invitation letters for participating lat			
	Mexico. Mexico requested a formal invitation			
	participating laboratories in Mexico. The N			
		indicated that NAPPO will send invitation letters to		
		participating labs in Mexico and requested to the EG		
		members from Mexico to provide the details to NAPPO (names, affiliation, and addresses).		
		2. The Guelph laboratory was removed from the list of		
		participating laboratories because it could not be certified		
		for PPC2 level on time however, a minimum of eight		
		laboratories are needed. Without the Guelph lab, the total		
	number of participating labs is nine with tw			
	from Canada.			
	Next Steps			
Responsible Person	Action	Date		
	Provide names, affiliations, and address of participating			
5	laboratory managers for NAPPO to send invitation			
. ,	letters.			

NAPPO ED	Send invitation letters to participating laboratories in Mexico	
Next Meeting		
Location:	Zoom meeting – Video conference.	
Date:	April 20, 2022 from 2:00-3:00 pm EST.	
Proposed Agenda Items		
1.		
2.		
3.		