

## NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Lymantriids		
Location:	Conference call		
Date:	December 12, 2017		
Chairperson	Dave Holden (CFIA)		
Participants:			
Glenn Fowler (PPQ)	Eduardo Jiménez Quiroz Rajesh Ramarathnam (CFIA) (Co-Chair. SEMARNAT)		
Patricia Abad (PPQ)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)		
	Summary		
Project: General comments:	Develop a NAPPO Science and Technology paper on the risks associated with Lymantriids of potential concern to the NAPPO region, identifying potential species and pathways of concern. The main purpose of this conference call was to provide an		
Ceneral comments.	update from EG members on the risk analyses of Lymantriid species assigned to each country.		
Item 1:	Group progress report.		
Consensus:	<ul> <li>The Chairperson indicated that the main objective of the conference call was to provide an update on the work being done by the expert group and discuss the problems experienced.</li> <li>Updates: <ul> <li>Mexico.</li> <li>Due to the current workload, MX has not finished filling the data sheets on all the Lymantriid species assigned to Mexico.</li> <li>EG members from Mexico also looked at the data from the species that were previously analyzed and are currently found in the Group's folder in Google Drive (about 20 species with their data sheet).</li> <li>Members have not been able to complete the work assigned but committed to work on the data sheets as soon as possible.</li> <li>In general terms MX had difficulties finding information on the biology and behavior of the species assigned. Most of the data they have collected is for hosts and distribution.</li> <li>The score of most species analyzed is "0"</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

• US.	
0	Have identified a total of 13 species based on data availability.
0	Completed two assessments, one for Lymantria
	ampla and the other for <i>L. lunata</i> and, working on a
	third species (O. mendoza).
0	Spatially broken the Köppen-Geiger classes by
	NAPPO countries to determine the areas in each
	country that have a risk for establishment of
	Lymantriid species. The US EG member asked if
	the information should be uploaded into the group's
	folder in Google Drive. The Chairperson indicated
	that it is good information to have and encouraged to upload the information into the Google Drive.
	The US EG member indicated the information is in
	the same format as in previous analyses done by
	the group. The Chairperson asked the US member
	if he could run the analyses for other species from
	which data is being collected by other members.
	The US member agreed to help but expressed his
	concerns about time availability to do this. The
	Chairperson indicated that this will be good
	information but only for those species that have a
	complete datasheet and emphasized that including
	this type of data is part of the analysis and provides a more objective picture. The Chairperson
	indicated the analysis should be done in two steps:
	<ul> <li>Evaluate based on the host(s)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Evaluate whether there is enough biological</li> </ul>
	information associated with the species
	selected based on the host(s) availability. If
	there is not enough biological information
	the species should be removed from the
	analysis. GIS analyses will be done only if
	there is enough biological information. The
	Chairperson also indicated the importance
	of having additional parameters, as it was done previously, for example the
	<i>"percentage overlap</i> " that will facilitate the
	extrapolation of information like the
	distribution of lymantriids species in the
	world and the possibility of establishment in
	the NAPPO region. This information should
	be included in the analysis for those species
	where complete data sheets are available.
	•
Cana	
0	The Chairperson indicated that he had completed
	collecting the biological information for 10 species.
0	The process of collecting the biological information

	<ul> <li>will continue for the remaining spect Canada and, upon completion, the pestiferous species will be selected analysis.</li> <li>The Chairperson explained all the passociated with the search engines and Google Scholar) and the difficu accessing certain information data "Zoological Records". He also explicit challenges in accessing the records being able to run a search and gett number of hits (i.e. with the AGRIS Other members are also using Good Scholars (MX). The EG member from indicated they have been able to get information (abstracts) and most of obtained is restricted to the host an US members have been using Good Scholar, CAB compendium and CA The Chairperson also suggested an EG members to place special requires information for those species where difficult to obtain. This could be as request to researchers of organizat countries of the world. Mexico will f approach with the scientific commute expertise in Lymantriids in MX.</li> </ul>	most I to complete the problems a used (Google ulties in bases like lained the s in spite of ing a good database). ogle and Google om Mexico et partial the information id distributions. ogle, Google B abstracts. nd encouraged ests to get e information is a written ions in other ollow this		
	Next Steps			
Responsible Person	Action	Date		
EG members	Continue working of the species assigned to their	As needed.		
NAPPO Secretariat	respective countries. Send Doodle poll to schedule the next conference call for the last week of January.	As soon as possible.		
Next Meeting				
Location:	Conference call			
Date:	Last week of January. To be determined after Doodle poll results.			
Proposed Agenda Items				
1. Determine Status of datasheets and whether more time needed				
2. Discuss methods to capture missing data				
3. Discuss timelines for draft paper and its format				